## PSJ9 Exh 22

```
1
       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
        FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
                EASTERN DIVISION
5
    IN RE: NATIONAL : MDL NO. 2804
    PRESCRIPTION OPIATE :
6
    LITIGATION
7
                        : CASE NO.
    THIS DOCUMENT : 1:17-MD-2804
8
    RELATES TO ALL CASES:
                         : Hon. Dan A.
9
                         : Polster
10
            Thursday February 7, 2019
11
12
    HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
             CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
13
14
                 Videotaped deposition of AL
15
    PAONESSA, taken pursuant to notice, was
    held at Buffalo Marriott Harbor Center,
    95 Main Street, Buffalo, New York 14203,
16
    beginning at 10:05 a.m., on the above date,
    before Amanda Dee Maslynsky-Miller, a
17
    Certified Realtime Reporter.
18
19
20
21
22
            GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
        877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
23
                deps@golkow.com
2.4
```

- quideline that you should probably not
- sell more than 5,000 tablets at any time,
- <sup>3</sup> unless there was a reason for it.
- 4 A. I don't recall the exact
- 5 time that that conversation happened, but
- <sup>6</sup> you're in the right time frame. It was
- <sup>7</sup> somewhere in there.
- We spoke with them a lot.
- <sup>9</sup> The DEA -- the local DEA was literally
- across the street from the Anda office.
- We communicated all the time, a lot.
- But I don't remember that
- exact conversation. But at that point,
- it was 5,000 is what they discussed it
- would be, a rule of thumb for us to look
- <sup>16</sup> at.
- Q. And you took that rule of
- thumb very seriously, didn't you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And you applied that to your
- practice, in terms of sales; isn't that
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And the reason that you

- 1 applied it to your practice, in terms of
- 2 sales, was because you understood that
- 3 there was -- there appeared to be a
- 4 problem regarding the diversion of
- <sup>5</sup> opioids, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. And at that time, was that a
- 8 concern of yours, to take steps to avoid
- <sup>9</sup> the diversion of opioids?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. Now, you mentioned that if
- some customer wanted more than 5,000,
- that, then, you would investigate, true?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And what was the nature of
- that investigation?
- 17 A. If we are talking about the
- 18 2006, '07 time frame, then they would
- 19 have a questionnaire. We understand who
- $^{20}$  they sold to. We would look at exactly
- what we sold to them, too, ourselves, and
- look at what their mix was.
- Then we would try to also
- get a dispensing record from them of what